

What is the Future of Sleep Medicine in the US?

Barbara Phillips, MD, MSPH, FCCP (corresponding author)
Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine
University of Kentucky College of Medicine
Lexington, KY, 40536-00847

P 859-361-0927
F 859-226-7008
Rfphil1@uky.edu

David Gozal, MD
Herbert T. Abelson Professor of Pediatrics
University of Chicago Medicine and Biological Sciences
P 773.702.6205
F 773.702.4523
dgozal@peds.bsd.uchicago.edu

Atul Malhotra
Kenneth M. Moser Professor of Medicine
UC San Diego Pulmonary, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine Division
La Jolla CA
amalhotra@ucsd.edu

Sources of support: none

The authors contributed to the content and writing of this manuscript. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

The views expressed in this article do not communicate an official position of any institution or agency.

Running head: Sleep Medicine's Future

Descriptor number: 15.10 Sleep (other)

Key words: child health, motor vehicle crash, reimbursement, board-certification, workforce, lung

Word count: 1176

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a highly prevalent condition which is a major risk factor for many prevalent, resource-draining conditions. Further, OSA endangers not only those who are afflicted with it, but innocent bystanders, since it is a clear risk factor for motor vehicle crashes (1). Treatment of OSA is associated with improved outcomes from many of its consequences, including motor vehicle accidents (1), atrial fibrillation (2), hypertension (3) and overall mortality in men (4), women (5) and the elderly (6). Thus, access to diagnosis and management of OSA would be expected to improve outcomes for many patients, and has been demonstrated to be cost-effective (7).

Most recent estimates of OSA prevalence conservatively suggest 13% of men and 6% of women have clinically important OSA (defined by an apnea hypopnea index >15/h) (8), as well as 2-4% of school age children (9). A recent Swiss study found up to 50% of men had OSA with clinical consequences (10). Assuming a conservative estimate of 10% population prevalence suggests that about 30M people in the US alone have clinically important OSA, with many more at risk. Currently, up to 4-5M people in the US are on treatment for OSA, suggesting that the vast majority of OSA remains undiagnosed and undertreated (11).

There are multiple reasons for this situation. Many third party payers require diagnosis in an accredited laboratory and involvement of a physician who is board certified in sleep medicine (which requires an additional year of training) for reimbursement. As a result many in the current generation of pulmonologists have forgone involvement in the management of OSA, or even development of expertise in the use of positive pressure ventilation for other indications. To a large extent, the minimal involvement of pulmonologists in the care of OSA patients derives from their inability to get reimbursed for delivering care. This is likely to change because:

- Currently the number of board-certified sleep specialists is dwindling rapidly. The numbers probably peaked around 2013, after large scale grandfathering before the implementation of the American Board of Medical Specialties examination in sleep medicine. However, in the 2013 sleep medicine fellowship match, 64 programs offered 129 training positions starting in July 2013. About a quarter of these positions went unfilled, and an even higher percentage went unfilled in 2014 (12). With the imminent retirement of the current cohort of grandfathered sleep specialists and a very small pipeline of future board certified sleep specialists, the number of sleep specialists is expected to plummet over the next several years. The situation is even more serious in pediatrics, since there are currently fewer than 300 certified pediatric sleep practitioners, and fewer than 10 pediatricians enroll annually in accredited sleep medicine fellowships

- Current insurance and industry reimbursement policies in the US require “face-to-face” visits within 30-90 days after CPAP initiation, followed by annual visits (13).

While not unreasonable, this requirement is simply not feasible with current supply of sleep-board-certified physicians available, and that pipeline is shrinking.

Something needs to change. Some potential solutions are:

1. Increased training and empowerment in the management of OSA in adult and pediatric pulmonary fellowship programs. As experts in breathing, pulmonologists are well-equipped to manage sleep-disordered breathing, and already have alliances with respiratory care practitioners, natural partners in this endeavor. Pulmonologists should be able to manage sleep-disordered breathing upon completion of a pulmonary fellowship, given that a full 10% of the American Board of Internal Medicine (ABIM) pulmonary medicine examination focuses on sleep, including non-respiratory sleep (14). The idea that a respiratory specialist is incapable of managing sleep apnea needs to change and is at odds with many other countries with high quality healthcare.
2. Elimination of the requirement for board-certification and center accreditation for reimbursement for routine OSA management. Although controversial (15), evidence (16-18) suggests that non-specialist care is as effective and less expensive than specialist care for OSA.
3. Simplification or elimination of the Home Medical Equipment and insurance regulations/paperwork for provision of Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP) equipment and supplies. With the advent of required “face-to-face” visits and annual follow-up and compliance documentation in order to obtain CPAP or supplies through insurance (13), accredited sleep clinics have experienced sharply increased demands for routine follow-up simply for documentation purposes.
4. Increased training for generalist clinicians, including non-physicians, in the diagnosis and chronic management of symptomatic, uncomplicated high pre-test probability OSA, similar to the approach currently implemented for other chronic medical conditions such as COPD or asthma. This approach has already begun to happen in the diagnosis and treatment of OSA in children (19). In patients with uncomplicated, symptomatic OSA, management by primary care physicians or pulmonologists, would be expected to lead to similar symptom-related outcomes as current outcomes by sleep specialists (16, 17). The comfort level of primary care physicians and non-specialists needs to continue to improve, with enhanced education around sleep and its disorders in medical schools and other levels of training (20).

While evidence-based care of our OSA patients is clearly a critical priority, another major issue is the very small pipeline for young investigators in sleep medicine. Many excellent sleep fellowship programs have closed down or remained unfilled, including pediatric sleep medicine programs focused on the critical need for development of future translational and clinical leaders in the field. The Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) requirements for sleep medicine fellowships encourage one clinical year of training with minimal

opportunity for meaningful research. Programs that have required a second or third year of fellowship training for research purposes have been largely unsuccessful in attracting first-rate fellows with high investigative potential. To attempt to resolve these issues, a number of strategies could be considered:

1. Enhancing sleep training for medical students and residents.
2. Modifying the existing ACGME requirements for pulmonary and critical care training to enhance sleep training. Alternative pathways have been discussed whereby pulmonary/sleep training could be obtained in 3 years or pulmonary/critical care/sleep training could be obtained in 4, with clear commitment to learning all three disciplines within this time frame. Such approaches would allow adequate time for meaningful research in sleep and breathing, an area in need of young investigators. The approach would require sleep continuity clinics such that chronic management of sleep disorders could be learned in a sophisticated manner.
3. Multi-institutional training programs, since individual institutions may not have a critical mass of funded faculty with expertise in sleep-disordered breathing. Such programs could provide remote mentoring, share expertise, encourage networking among junior members and provide career development guidance to the trainees across multiple sites.

We have major concerns about the future of the field of sleep medicine, both from the standpoint of patient care, and in the training of future sleep scientists. We believe that bringing this issue to the fore is a first step toward the generation of a productive and informed discussion. We welcome a dialogue with our colleagues to discuss constructive approaches to these important problems and to help define approaches to ensure our next generation of clinicians and scientists in sleep medicine. Where are our replacements?

References:

1. Tregear S, PhD; James Reston J, Schoelles K, Phillips B, Continuous Positive Airway Pressure Reduces Risk of Motor Vehicle Crash among Drivers with Obstructive Sleep Apnea; Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Sleep* 2010; 33: 1373-1380.
2. Holmqvist F, Guan N, Zhu Z, Kowey PR, Allen LA, Fonarow GC, Hylek EM, Mahaffey KW, Freeman JV, Chang P, Holmes DN, Peterson ED, Piccini JP, Gersh BJ; ORBIT-AF Investigators. Impact of obstructive sleep apnea and continuous positive airway pressure therapy on outcomes in patients with atrial fibrillation-Results from the Outcomes Registry for Better Informed Treatment of Atrial Fibrillation (ORBIT-AF). *Am Heart J*. 2015;169:647-654.
3. Fava C, Dorigoni S, Dalle Vedove F, Danese E, Montagnana M, Guidi GC, Narkiewicz K, Minuz P. Effect of CPAP on blood pressure in patients with OSA/hypopnea a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Chest*. 2014;145:762-71.
4. Marin JM, Carrizo SJ, Vicente E, Agusti AG. Long-term cardiovascular outcomes in men with obstructive sleep apnoea-hypopnoea with or without treatment with continuous positive airway pressure: an observational study. *Lancet*. 2005;365:1046-53.
5. Campos-Rodriguez F, Martinez-Garcia MA, de la Cruz-Moron I, Almeida-Gonzalez C, Catalan-Serra P, Montserrat JM. Cardiovascular mortality in women with obstructive sleep apnea with or without continuous positive airway pressure treatment: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2012;156:115-22.
6. Martínez-García MA, Campos-Rodríguez F, Catalán-Serra P, Soler-Cataluña JJ, Almeida-Gonzalez C, De la Cruz Morón I, Durán-Cantolla J, Montserrat JM. Cardiovascular mortality in obstructive sleep apnea in the elderly: role of long-term continuous positive airway pressure treatment: a prospective observational study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2012;186:909-16.
7. McDaid C, Griffin S, Weatherly H, Durée K, van der Burgt M, van Hout S, Akers J, Davies RJ, Sculpher M, Westwood M. Continuous positive airway pressure devices for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnoea-hypopnoea syndrome: a systematic review and economic analysis. *K, Health Technol Assess*. 2009;13:iii-iv, xi-xiv, 1-119, 143-274.)
8. Peppard PE, Young T, Barnet JH, Palta M, Hagen EW, Hla KM. Increased prevalence of sleep-disordered breathing in adults. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2013;177:1006-14.
9. Marcus CL, Brooks LJ, Draper KA, Gozal D, Halbower AC, Jones J, Schechter MS, Ward SD, Sheldon SH, Shiffman RN, Lehmann C, Spruyt K. Diagnosis and management of childhood obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Pediatrics*. 2012;130:576-584.
10. Heinzer R, Vat S, Marques-Vidal P, Marti-Soler H, Andries D, Tobback N, Mooser V, Preisig M, Malhotra A, Waeber G, Vollenweider P, Tafti M, Haba-

- Rubio J. Prevalence of sleep-disordered breathing in the general population: the HypnoLaus study. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2015;3:310-8.
11. Konecny T, Kuniyoshi FH, Orban M, et al. Under-diagnosis of sleep apnoea in patients after acute myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;56:742-3. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2010.04.032.
 12. Quan SF. Graduate medical education in sleep medicine: did the canary just die? *J Clin Sleep Med* 2013;9:101.
 13. http://www.cms.gov/Outreach-and-Education/Medicare-Learning-NetworkMLN/MLNProducts/downloads/PAP_DocCvg_Factsheet_ICN905064.pdf.
 14. https://www.abim.org/pdf/blueprint/pulm_cert.pdf
 15. Parthasarathy S, Subramanian S, Quan SF A multicenter prospective comparative effectiveness study of the effect of physician certification and center accreditation on patient-centered outcomes in obstructive sleep apnea. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2014 Mar 15;10:243-9.
 16. Chai-Coetzer CL1, Antic NA, Rowland LS, Reed RL, Esterman A, Catchside PG, Eckermann S, Vowles N, Williams H, Dunn S, McEvoy RD. Primary care vs specialist sleep center management of obstructive sleep apnea and daytime sleepiness and quality of life: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2013 Mar 13;309:997-1004.
 17. Antic NA, Buchan C, Esterman A, et al. A randomized controlled trial of nurse-led care for symptomatic moderate-severe obstructive sleep apnea. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2009;179:501-508).
 18. Sánchez-de-la-Torre M, Nadal N, Cortijo A, Masa JF, Duran-Cantolla J, Valls J, Serra S, Sánchez-de-la-Torre A, Gracia M, Ferrer F, Lorente I, Urgeles MC, Alonso T, Fuentes A, Armengol F, Lumbierres M, Vázquez-Polo FJ, Barbé F; Respiratory Medicine Research Group. Role of primary care in the follow-up of patients with obstructive sleep apnoea undergoing CPAP treatment: a randomised controlled trial. *Thorax*. 2015;70:346-52.
 19. Kaditis A, Kheirandish-Gozal L, Gozal D. Algorithm for the diagnosis and treatment of pediatric OSA: a proposal of two pediatric sleep centers. *Sleep Med*. 2012;13:217-27.
 20. Hayes SM, Murray S, Castriotta RJ, Landrigan CP, Malhotra A. (Mis) perceptions and interactions of sleep specialists and generalists: obstacles to referrals to sleep specialists and the multidisciplinary team management of sleep disorders. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2012; 8:633-42.